

By speed post



**STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC)-
DELHI**

**OFFICE OF DELHI POLLUTION CONTROL COMMITTEE
5th FLOOR, ISBT BUILDING, KASHMERE GATE, DELHI 110006**


Visit us at <http://dpcc.delhigovt.nic.in>

F.No. DPCC/SEAC/2017/88/ 5637-5650 / 5650

Dated: 06/04/2017

MINUTES OF MEETING

Please find enclosed herewith the minutes of meeting of 88th meeting of the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) held on 01.04.2017 in the conference room of DPCC, at 5th Floor, ISBT Building, Kashmere Gate, Delhi - 110006 for information and necessary action if any.


(Dr Anil Kumar)
Secretary,
SEAC- Delhi

To:

1. Dr. MukeshKhare (Professor) (Chairman, SEAC), Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi HauzKhas, New Delhi-110016.
2. Dr. Naveen Kumar (Professor and Head) (Member, SEAC), Mechanical Engineering Department, Delhi Technology University, Delhi-110042.
3. Dr. S. K. Singh (Professor) (Member, SEAC), Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, Delhi Technology University, Delhi-110042.
4. Dr. Mrs. Rita Kumar (Emeritus Scientist), (Member, SEAC), Environmental Biotechnology Division, Institute of Genomics and integrative Biology DU North Campus, Mall Road, Delhi-110007.
5. Dr. Sanjay Gupta (Professor) (Member, SEAC), Department of Transport Planning, School of Planning and Architecture, 4-Block-B, Indraprastha Estate, New Delhi-110002.
6. Dr. SumanLakhanpaul (Professor) (Member, SEAC), Department of Botany, University of Delhi, North Campus, University Road Faculty of Science, University Enclave Delhi-110007.
7. Dr. AmarjeetKaur (Professor) (Member, SEAC), Centre of Disaster Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh, Indraprastha University, E-Block, 106 and 107, Sector-16 C, Dwarka, New Delhi.

Contd..

..2..

8. Dr. Anupam Chattopadhyay, (Member, SEAC), Department of Geology, University of Delhi, 34, ChattraMarg Delhi-110007.
9. Mrs. Meenakshi Dhote (Member, SEAC), Department of Environment Planning, School of Planning and Architecture, 4 Block B, IP Estate, New Delhi.

Copy to:

1. Shri Krishan Mohan Sahni, IAS Rtd. (Chairman, SEIAA), House No. 38, Pkt 2, Jasola Vihar, Behind Apollo Hospital, New Delhi-110025.
2. Director, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Combating Climate Change (MGICCC) (Member Secretary, SEIAA), Department of Environment, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, Palla-Bakthawarpur Road, Alipur, New Delhi, Delhi 110036
3. PS to Secretary (Environment) cum Chairman, DPCC- for kind information.
4. PA to Member Secretary, DPCC- for kind information.
5. SEE (IT)- for necessary action regarding uploading the minutes on website.


(Dr Anil Kumar)
Secretary,
SEAC- Delhi

STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC)-DELHI
OFFICE OF DELHI POLLUTION CONTROL COMMITTEE
5th FLOOR, ISBT BUILDING, KASHMERE GATE, DELHI-110006

Minutes of the 88th meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) held on 01.04.2017 at 10.00 am in the Conference Room of DPCC at 5th Floor, ISBT, Kashmere Gate, Delhi-06.



The 88th meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) was held on 01.04.2017 in the Conference Room of DPCC under the Chairmanship of Dr Mukesh Khare. The following members of SEAC were present in the meeting:

- | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| 1. Dr. Mukesh Khare | - | In Chair |
| 2. Dr. S.K. Singh | - | Member |
| 3. Dr. Rita Kumar | - | Member |
| 4. Dr. Sanjay Gupta | - | Member |
| 5. Dr. Meenakshi Dhote | - | Member |
| 6. Dr. Suman Lakhanpaul | - | Member |
| 7. Dr. Naveen Kumar | - | Member |
| 8. Dr. Anupam Chattopadhyay | - | Member |
| 9. Dr. Anil Kumar | - | Secretary |

Dr. Amarjeet Kaur, Members SEAC, could not attend the meeting. Shri Pankaj Kapil (SEE) DPCC assisted the Committee.

The minutes of 87th meeting of SEAC held on 11.03.2017 were confirmed by the members.

The SEAC took the note of S.O. No. 804 (E) dated 14.03.2017 issued by MOEF & CC, GOI defining the procedure specified for violation cases. The said notification prescribes that project or activities which are in violation as on date of this notification only will be eligible to apply for environmental clearance under this notification and the project proponent can apply for Environmental Clearance to MOEF & CC, GOI under this notification only within six months from the date of notification.



Agenda No.01

Issues discussed in the joint sitting of SEAC & SEIAA meeting on 22.10.2016

The Chairman SEIAA along with the members of the Authority presided over in a meeting with SEAC held on 22.10.2016 for interacting with SEAC members for harmonising the processing of Environmental Clearance at the appraisal and subsequent approval stages. During the discussion the issue related to water supply assurances, monitoring of Environmental Clearance, ground water recharge by maintaining the soft area/green area, status of water body in proximity of the project sites, examination of topographical and Google map during the appraisal of the project and confirming the possibilities of future expansion of the project under appraisal were discussed. It was decided that the SEIAA will further deliberate on the aforesaid matters to issue an advisory for discussed Environmental issues to be looked into during appraisal of the construction projects. It was further decided that to start with, SEAC will invite Member Water of Delhi Jal Board with information on the demand and water supply status of Delhi specifically in view of new development activities proposed/planned in city of Delhi.

Accordingly, the SEIAA in its 39th meeting held on 27.10.2016 decided the following points for incorporating in processing of Environmental Clearance cases:

1. With immediate effect, copy of Environmental Clearance be endorsed to enforcement Authorities like Commissioner (Planning) DDA, CEO (DJB), concerned DCP, Director Fire Services, Commissioner (MCD) and other related department if any.
2. For the purpose of post Environmental Clearance monitoring few cases be selected for getting them verified through DPCC on random basis.
3. Green area should not be less than 25 % of the plot area out of which minimum 15 % should be of soft green area, so that there should be sufficient recharging of ground water. Further along boundary wall, minimum of soft green space of 2m width be kept for better tree growth and ground water recharge, based on area of 6'X6'/tree being a norm.
4. Distance from Road and water bodies be clearly mentioned from the boundary of the project, if near to project site, their greenery/cleaning, area development be maintained by Project Proponent as Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).
5. Project Proponent should specify future expansion plan at same site as these will have civic needs in the later stages.
6. During appraisal of the cases, examination of topographical and Google map should be done with a view to ascertaining the nearby existing features.

The SEAC took note of the above observations of SEIAA for incorporating the same while appraising the cases and decided to invite the officials of DJB in next SEAC with information on the demand and water supply status of Delhi along with details on availability of current and future carrying capacity of the water specifically in view of new development activities proposed/planned in city of Delhi. Further the SEAC observed that it was agreed in the joint meeting with SEIAA on 22.10.2016 that during appraisal SEAC will look into the assurances of water supply from periphery main supply lines/authorised sources during construction/operation phase of the projects and while issuing Environmental Clearance



Amol Kulkarni

condition in preconstruction stage will be imposed by SEIAA that the Project Proponent shall obtain water assurance form Delhi Jal Board / Authorised source for the proposed development work .


Accordingly a letter was issued to Member (Water Supply), DJB issued vide letter dated 07.03.2017 to attend the meeting of SEAC to be held on 11.03.2017.No representative came from Delhi Jal Board.

The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 recommended to take up the matter in the next meeting with a reminder to DJB to attend the next SEAC meeting scheduled on 01.04.2017 with information on the demand and water supply status of Delhi along with details on availability of current and future carrying capacity of the water including ground and surface water sources specifically in view of new development activities proposed/planned in city of Delhi with advance confirmation. Accordingly letter issued on 23.03.2017.

Representative of DJB, Sh. R.K. Sharma, EE, (Plg), W-III. attended the meeting and provided the status of water supply in Delhi. Present water demand is 1080 MGD against the present water availability of 900 MGD. Future water demand in 2021 is reported as 1380 MGD whereas the water availability is not expected to increase beyond 900 MGD in near future .

It was further informed to Committee that DJB is examining and granting water supply permissions to the new development projects on case to case basis.

The SEAC recommended that condition to obtain water supply assurances from DJB/Authorised Source during construction / operation phase being imposed in preconstruction stage should be continued. It must be obtained before starting the construction.



Agenda No.02**Case No. C-338**


Name of the Project	EC for Prefab 3BHK ,2BHK&EWS Housing For Delhi Development Authority at Pocket 14, Sector A1A4 Narela Delhi
Project Proponent	Executive Engineer, Delhi Development Authority, Northern Division-8, Delhi Development Authority, Alipur Road, Narela, New Delhi
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18550/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-338/DL/2017

ToR has been issued to the project by SEAC, Delhi on 24.01.2017. The Project proponent has applied for EC on 24.01.2017.

The built up area as per ToR was 159726.451 sq.m and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 201935.694 sq.m.

The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

1. Confirmation about the latitude & Longitude of the project site.
2. Revised detail of the built up area including the FAR & Non FAR components.
3. Revised water mass balance chart.
4. Revised landscape plan showing the percentage of green area and the percentage of soft area as prescribed in ToR.
5. Revised assessment of level of service with reassessment of hourly volume and capacity.
6. Revised rain water harvesting plan consisting the recharge bores of minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 Sq.m of built up area and storage capacity of minimum 1 day of total fresh water requirement.
7. The Project Proponent should submit the report incorporating a cumulative impact of the development activities in all five pockets (i.e., Pocket No. 3, 4, 9, 13&14) on overall environment as prescribed in ToR. of Pocket 9.
8. Geotechnical Investigation report.
9. Revised landscape plan clearly showing the name & no. of existing trees, proposed trees with their location, in accordance with CPCB guidelines.
10. Action plan from DDA for reuse of treated water of STP.
11. Undertaking from DDA for taking liability/ responsibility w.r.t. operation & maintenance of STP, RWH and reuse of excess treated water even after transfer of services to RWA.

Accordingly project proponent was asked to furnish the information vide letter dated 23.03.2017. The project proponent submitted the information during the meeting of SEAC.

After due deliberation SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 further sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

The information submitted by the project proponent during the meeting was discussed. The Project Proponent was asked to come again for presentation with improved information w.r.t detail of traffic assessment, revised water mass balance chart with detail of reuse of treated waste water, revised plan of RWH bore wells for minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 sq.mt of built up area, revised landscape plan in A1 size with all requisite details asked for including green area and soft green area properly demarcated. The cumulative data table is also to be resubmitted with inclusion of traffic and green area details undertaking from DDA for reuse of excess treated water is also required to be submitted.

AGENDA NO.03:

Case No. C-339


Name of the Project	EC for Prefab 3BHK ,2BHK&EWS Housing For Delhi Development Authority Pocket 9, Sector – A1A4, Narela, Delhi
Project Proponent	Executive Engineer, South Eastern Division-2, Delhi Development Authority, Pocket-1, Dilshad Garden, Delhi
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18549/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-339/DL/2017

ToR has been issued to the project by SEAC, Delhi on 24.01.2017. The Project proponent has applied for EC on 24.01.2017.

The built up area as per issued ToR was 176133.747sq.m and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 210658.908 sq.m. The power requirement according to ToR issued to was 11700 KW and according to EC application submitted is 18700 KW .

The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

1. Confirmation about the latitude & Longitude of the project site.
2. Revised detail of the built up area including the FAR & Non FAR components.
3. Revised water mass balance chart.
4. Revised landscape plan showing the percentage of green area and the percentage of soft area as prescribed in ToR.
5. Revised assessment of level of service with reassessment of hourly volume and capacity.

6. Revised rain water harvesting plan consisting the recharge bores of minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 Sq.m of built up area and storage capacity of minimum 1 day of total fresh water requirement.
7. The Project Proponent should submit the report incorporating a cumulative impact of the development activities in all five pockets (i.e., Pocket No. 3, 4, 9, 13&14) on overall environment as prescribed in ToR.
8. Geotechnical Investigation report.
9. Revised landscape plan clearly showing the name & no. of existing trees, proposed trees with their location, in accordance with CPCB guidelines.
10. Action plan from DDA for reuse of treated water of STP.
11. Undertaking from DDA for taking liability/ responsibility w.r.t. operation & maintenance of STP, RWH and reuse of excess treated water even after transfer of services to RWA.

Accordingly project proponent was asked to furnish the information vide letter dated 23.03.2017. The project proponent submitted the information during the meeting of SEAC.

After due deliberation SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 further sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:



The information submitted by the project proponent during the meeting was discussed. The Project Proponent was asked to come again for presentation with improved information w.r.t detail of traffic assessment, revised water mass balance chart with detail of reuse of treated waste water, revised plan of RWH bore wells for minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 sq.mt of built up area, revised landscape plan in A1 size with all requisite details asked for including green area and soft green area properly demarcated. The cumulative data table is also to be resubmitted with inclusion of traffic and green area details undertaking from DDA for reuse of excess treated water is also required to be submitted.

AGENDA NO.04:

Case No. C-340

Name of the Project	EC for Prefab 3BHK ,2BHK&EWS Housing For Delhi Development Authority At pocket- 3,Sector A1,A4 Narela , Delhi
Project Proponent	DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Eastern Division- 5, Delhi Development Authority, Pok-1, Dilshad Garden, New Delhi
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18548/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-340/DL/2017

ToR has been issued to the project by SEAC, Delhi on 24.01.2017. The Project proponent has applied for EC on 24.01.2017.

The built up area as per issued ToR was 218703.29 sq.m and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 259759.854 sq.m.


The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

1. Confirmation about the latitude & Longitude of the project site.
2. Revised detail of the built up area including the FAR & Non FAR components.
3. Revised water mass balance chart.
4. Revised landscape plan showing the percentage of green area and the percentage of soft area as prescribed in ToR.
5. Revised assessment of level of service with reassessment of hourly volume and capacity.
6. Revised rain water harvesting plan consisting the recharge bores of minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 Sq.m of built up area and storage capacity of minimum 1 day of total fresh water requirement.
7. The Project Proponent should submit the report incorporating a cumulative impact of the development activities in all five pockets (i.e., Pocket No. 3, 4, 9, 13&14) on overall environment as prescribed in ToR. of Pocket 9.
8. Geotechnical Investigation report.
9. Revised landscape plan clearly showing the name & no. of existing trees, proposed trees with their location, in accordance with CPCB guidelines.
10. Action plan from DDA for reuse of treated water of STP.
11. Undertaking from DDA for taking liability/ responsibility w.r.t. operation & maintenance of STP, RWH and reuse of excess treated water even after transfer of services to RWA.

Accordingly project proponent was asked to furnish the information vide letter dated 23.03.2017. The project proponent submitted the information during the meeting of SEAC.

After due deliberation SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 further sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

The information submitted by the project proponent during the meeting was discussed. The Project Proponent was asked to come again for presentation with improved information w.r.t detail of traffic assessment, revised water mass balance chart with detail of reuse of treated waste water, revised plan of RWH bore wells for minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 sq.mt of built up area, revised landscape plan in A1 size with all requisite details asked for including green area and soft green area properly demarcated. The cumulative data table is also to be resubmitted with inclusion of traffic and green area details undertaking from DDA for reuse of excess treated water is also required to be submitted.



Agenda No.05

Case No. C-341

Name of the Project	EC for Prefab 3BHK ,2BHK&EWS Housing For Delhi Development Authority At pocket- 13,Sector A1,A4 Narela , Delhi
Project Proponent	Executive Engineer DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Eastern Division-3, Delhi Development Authority, Pocket-1, Dilshad Garden Delhi
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18547/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-341/DL/2017

ToR has been issued to the project by SEAC, Delhi on 24.01.2017. The Project proponent has applied for EC on 24.01.2017.

The built up area as per issued ToR was 239431.51 sq.m and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 284970.633 sq.m

The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

1. Confirmation about the latitude & Longitude of the project site.
2. Revised detail of the built up area including the FAR & Non FAR components.
3. Revised water mass balance chart.
4. Revised landscape plan showing the percentage of green area and the percentage of soft area as prescribed in ToR.
5. Revised assessment of level of service with reassessment of hourly volume and capacity.
6. Revised rain water harvesting plan consisting the recharge bores of minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 Sq.m of built up area and storage capacity of minimum 1 day of total fresh water requirement.
7. The Project Proponent should submit the report incorporating a cumulative impact of the development activities in all five pockets (i.e., Pocket No. 3, 4, 9, 13&14) on overall environment as prescribed in ToR. of Pocket 9.
8. Geotechnical Investigation report.
9. Revised landscape plan clearly showing the name & no. of existing trees, proposed trees with their location, in accordance with CPCB guidelines.
10. Action plan from DDA for reuse of treated water of STP.
11. Undertaking from DDA for taking liability/ responsibility w.r.t. operation & maintenance of STP, RWH and reuse of excess treated water even after transfer of services to RWA.

Accordingly project proponent was asked to furnish the information vide letter dated 23.03.2017. The project proponent submitted the information during the meeting of SEAC.

After due deliberation SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 further sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

The information submitted by the project proponent during the meeting was discussed. The Project Proponent was asked to come again for presentation with improved information w.r.t detail of traffic assessment, revised water mass balance chart with detail of reuse of treated waste water, revised plan of RWH bore wells for minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 sq.mt of built up area, revised landscape plan in A1 size with all requisite details asked for including green area and soft green area properly demarcated. The cumulative data table is also to be resubmitted with inclusion of traffic and green area details undertaking from DDA for reuse of excess treated water is also required to be submitted.

Agenda No.06


Case No. C-342

Name of the Project	EC for Prefab 3BHK ,2BHK&EWS Housing For Delhi Development Authority Pocket 4, Sector – A1A4, Narela
Project Proponent	Executive Engineer, Delhi Development Authority, South East Division-5, Delhi Development Authority, VasantKunj, New Delhi
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18545/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-342/DL/2017

ToR has been issued to the project by SEAC, Delhi on 24.01.2017. The Project proponent has applied for EC on 24.01.2017. The built up area as per issued ToR was 183378.87 sq.m. and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 218786.065 sq.m. The power as per issued ToR was 13500 KW. and according to EC application submitted by Project Proponent is 20075 KW.

The SEAC in its 87th meeting held on 11.03.2017 sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:

1. Confirmation about the latitude & Longitude of the project site.
2. Revised detail of the built up area including the FAR & Non FAR components.
3. Revised water mass balance chart.
4. Revised landscape plan showing the percentage of green area and the percentage of soft area as prescribed in ToR.
5. Revised assessment of level of service with reassessment of hourly volume and capacity.
6. Revised rain water harvesting plan consisting the recharge bores of minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 Sq.m of built up area and storage capacity of minimum 1 day of total fresh water requirement.

7. The Project Proponent should submit the report incorporating a cumulative impact of the development activities in all five pockets (i.e., Pocket No. 3, 4, 9, 13&14) on overall environment as prescribed in ToR. of Pocket 9.
8. Geotechnical Investigation report.
9. Revised landscape plan clearly showing the name & no. of existing trees, proposed trees with their location, in accordance with CPCB guidelines.
10. Action plan from DDA for reuse of treated water of STP.
11. Undertaking from DDA for taking liability/ responsibility w.r.t. operation & maintenance of STP, RWH and reuse of excess treated water even after transfer of services to RWA.

Accordingly project proponent was asked to furnish the information vide letter dated 23.03.2017. The project proponent submitted the information during the meeting of SEAC.

After due deliberation SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 further sought the following information from the Project Proponent for its further consideration:


The information submitted by the project proponent during the meeting was discussed. The Project Proponent was asked to come again for presentation with improved information w.r.t detail of traffic assessment, revised water mass balance chart with detail of reuse of treated waste water, revised plan of RWH bore wells for minimum 1 recharge bore per 5000 sq.mt of built up area, revised landscape plan in A1 size with all requisite details asked for including green area and soft green area properly demarcated. The cumulative data table is also to be resubmitted with inclusion of traffic and green area details undertaking from DDA for reuse of excess treated water is also required to be submitted.

Agenda No.-07

Case No. C- 321

Name of the Project	E.C for Barapullah Elevated Road (Phase-III) From Sarai Kale Khan to MayurVihar(Phase-I), New Delhi.
Project Proponent	Public Works Department (Govt. of NCT of Delhi)
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/NCP/18496/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-343/DL/2017

In OA no. 479/2015 filed by Sh. Manoj Kumar Mishra, Hon'ble NGT, as per order dated 26.10.2015 had stayed the construction activity of Construction of Elevated Road over Barapullah Nallah starting from Sarai Kale Khan to Mayur Vihar, New Delhi (Phase-III) for want of Environmental Clearance.



The representation dated 10.11.2015 was considered in the meeting of SEIAA held on 24.11.2015 and Authority decided to refer the matter to SEAC for suitable examination and comments. SEAC in its 75th meeting held on 16.12.2015 & 76th meeting held on 30.01.2016 decided that the legal opinion from Legal Cell of DPCC be obtained.

The legal cell of DPCC has noted that "Bridge" is not covered under the EIA Notification of 2006 and the entry related to High Way is similar to the Roads/ Bridge. It has further been commented that the Hon'ble Green Tribunal vide its judgement dated 12.02.2015 in the matter of "Vikrant Kumar Tongad Vs Delhi Tourism & Transport Corporation and ors" held as follows:

1. We hold that construction of a bridge or similar activity covering a buildup area ≥ 150000 sq. mtrs and/or covering an area of ≥ 50 hectares, would be covered under Entry 8(b) of the schedule of the Regulations 2006.
2. The legal cell of DPCC has opined that PWD has to apply for Environmental Clearance for this project in view of the above judgments of the NGT dated 12.02.2015 and matter has been received back from DPCC with the comment that a copy of the judgment of Hon'ble NGT may be send to MOEF with a request to take necessary action for amendment to provide clarity and to bring ease of doing business with respect of construction of bridges.

As decided by SEAC in its 77th meeting held on 27.02.2016 referred the matter to SEIAA with the recommendation that Project authorities be directed to apply for Environment Clearance under entry 8(b) of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006 and copy of the judgement of Hon'ble NGT dated 12.02.2015 be sent to MOEF with a request to take necessary action for amendment to provide clarity and to bring ease of doing business with respect of construction of bridges and. As decided by SEIAA in its 30th meeting held on 30.03.2016 the project proponent was directed to apply for EC under entry 8(b) of the schedule of EIA Notification, 2006 and copy of the judgement of Hon'ble NGT, vide office letter dated 12.04.2016.

The Project Proponent submitted a proposal for grant of Terms of Reference for Proposed project "Barapullah Elevated Road (Phase-III) From Sarai Kale Khan to MayurVihar(phase-I), New Delhi. The co-ordinates of project site is 28°34'55.33"N 77°15'34.48E to 28°36'10.23"N. 17°17'20.46E..



After due deliberations, the SEAC in its 83rd meeting held on 15.10.2016 decided to issue ToR as for conducting Environment Impact Assessment study and information to be included in EIA/EMP report. Accordingly TOR has been issued vide letter dated 10.11.2016. Subsequently the project proponent submitted its application on OSMEC portal on 16.03.2017 for grant of Environment Clearance

In WPC No. 1396/2016 debarment order dated 09.02.2016 issued by MoEF&CC regarding the M/s Mantec Consultants Pvt. Ltd was stayed and MoEF again issued debarment order on 23.08.2016 which has again been stayed by Hon'ble High Court vide its order dated 5.9.2016 in WPC no. 7756/2016 till next date of hearing. Interim order to continue and case was listed on 25.10.2016, 28.02.2017 and on 31.03.2017.

Information submitted as per application of Environment clearance is as under:

Area Details: Length of the stretch: 3.5 Kms. Built-up Area: 1,50,238 m² including modernization of existing UP Link Road at MayurVihar junction

Water Details: Total water requirement of Proposed project will be 75 KLD, which includes 20 KLD for domestic water requirement and 55 KLD for batching plant. The above mentioned quantity of water shall be met by ground water for which permission from the Delhi Jal board



is already taken. The total number of workers i.e. 800 people shall generate around 16 KLD of sewage which shall be treated in septic tanks connected to water recycling chambers. The recycled water hence generated shall be used for greenbelt development and dust suppression. There will be no discharge of untreated sewage on land or into water bodies. Adequate treatment of sewage will be carried out in septic tanks, proposed within the project premises. Treated sewage will be re-used for flushing, landscaping and DG cooling. External facility will be provided to discharge the excess treated water as per The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974.

Solid waste: Approximately 120 kg/day domestic solid waste is estimated to be generated from the construction activity; this will be collected from designated locations and segregated into inorganic and organic wastes. The inorganic non-biodegradable wastes will be sold to authorized vendors for recycling and the biodegradable wastes will be disposed according to MSW (Management & Handling) Rules, 2000 and Amendment, 2008.

Plantation: The project shall involve cutting of 530 trees and as per guidelines of the Forest Department 10 times of the same compensatory plantation out of which M/s PWD is required to plant 2650 trees and the rest shall be done by the forest department. Compensatory plantation to be carried out by PWD is 2650 plants/trees which shall cover an area of 17,650 sq.m. Plantation would be of large leaf trees that provide adequate shade and will range from evergreen to semi-evergreen

Power Details: The total demand load is estimated at 1500 KVA. Power requirement for the Elevated road Project will be supplied by BSES (permission already taken). The power backup shall also be provided by 3 number of DG sets (500 KVA capacity each)


Eco-Sensitive areas The project is 11Km from Asola Wildlife Sanctuary & 4.1 Km from the Okhla Bird Sanctuary.

Cost of project: 1260.63 Crore.

The hard copy/EIA report submitted during meeting of SEAC. During the discussions Project Proponent informed that construction was started in April'2015.

After due deliberation the SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 recommended as follows:

As the development has been started in April 2015 without seeking EC, the Project Proponent is required to apply for EC to MOEF & CC, GOI in view of Notification S.No. 804(E) dated 14.03.2017. Further clarification from MOEF & CC & GOI be sought whether the action u/s 19 of EPA, 1986 is also to be initiated against the project authority in view of the fact that applicability of EIA notification 2006 on Bridges was made clear by Hon'ble NGT vide its order dated 12.02.2015 in OA no 137/2014 in the matter of "Vikrant Kumar Tongad Vs Delhi Tourism & Transport Corporation and ors"



Agenda No. 08

Review of Case No 37

M/s Unison Hotels Ltd, Nelson Mandela Road, VasantKunj, New Delhi

Environment Clearance was granted to the Project proponent namely M/s Unison Hotels Ltd, Nelson Mandela Road, VasantKunj, New Delhi on 01.08.2014 for expansion of Hotel "The Grand" which was applied before erstwhile SEIAA/SEAC on 12.03.2012.

In the matter of Dr. C.V Singh Vs UOI &Ors.before the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal, OA No 380/2015 inter alia states that *the main grievance of the applicant vide the present application relates to development works within 10 km radius of the Asola Wild life Sanctuary, New Delhi. The Central Govt. has not notified Eco-Sensitive Zone so far and in the absence of specific notification, the projects lying within 10 KM s radius of the Sanctuary has to take Wild Life Clearance.*

Member Secretary, SEIAA has filed an affidavit in the matter before NGT in January 2016 indicating the grant of EC to the project proponent.

The matter was taken up in the 28th meeting of SEIAA held on 14.01.2016. SEIAA decided to refer the matter to SEAC to re-examine the case of M/s Unison Hotel, Nelson Mandela Road, New Delhi in reference to its location from Asola Wildlife Sanctuary. Till the time a decision is taken in the matter and issue is resolved by Competent Authority, the Project Proponent (M/s Unison Hotel) will not start any construction work for the proposed expansion as per EC obtained on 01.08.2014. Directions u/s 5 of EP Act be issued by the Member Secretary, SEIAA accordingly.


As decided by the Authority, Directions u/s 5 of EP Act issued on 25.02.2016 to M/s Unison Hotel to not to start any construction work for the proposed expansion as per EC obtained on 01.08.2014 till the time a decision is taken in the matter and issue is resolved by Competent Authority.

SEAC in its 78th meeting held on 09.04.2016 decided to defer the case as per the request letter dated 07.04.2016 submitted by the Project Proponent.& in 79th SEAC meeting held on 16.04.2016 nobody appeared before committee, therefore case deferred.

Nobody appeared before committee in its 80th meeting held on 28.05.2016 and case was deferred for next meeting with the instructions that a letter may be issued to the project proponent to appear before SEAC in its next meeting to be scheduled on 01.07.2016 without fail. The Project Proponent submitted the reply on 04.07.2016 enclosing the draft notification dated 15.06.2016 issued for Asola Wild Life Sanctuary and claiming that the project do not fall with 100 m from the notified boundaries.

In SEAC's 81st meeting held on 16.07.2016 the representative of the Project Proponent appeared before the committee however the exact distance from the Asola Wild Life Sanctuary was not confirmed to the committee. The SEAC observed that no benefit be given to Project Proponent on the basis of draft notification issued for Wild Life Sanctuary, therefore Project Proponent be asked to confirm distance of project from Asola Wild Life Sanctuary and to explain the status of NBWL clearance which is applicable for the projects falling within 10km distance of the boundaries of wild life sanctuaries.

Accordingly Project Proponent was asked to take necessary action vide letter dated 04.08.2016.



In the meantime a letter was also received from PP on 29.07.2016 stating that they will not commence any work for expansion on their hotel till the final notification by central government in respect of the Asola Bhatti Wildlife Sanctuary.

The case was considered in 82nd meeting of SEAC held on 20.08.2016 and committee recommended that the project proponent may again be directed to present its case in the next meeting of the SEAC failing with the withdrawal of the Environmental Clearance granted to the project proponent will be recommended. As decided letter dated 21.09.2016 was issued to Project Proponent. The representative of the project proponent handed over a letter dated 14.02.2016 again undertaking that they will not commence any work for expansion on their hotel till the final notification by central government in respect of the Asola Bhatti Wildlife Sanctuary.

The SEAC in its 83rd meeting held on 15.10.2016 recommended to refer to the legal section of DPCC for ascertaining the status of NGT matter i.e. OA No 380/2015.

Subsequent to filing of the affidavit by Member Secretary, SEIAA, the Matter O.A No. 380 /2015. The matter was listed before Hon'ble NGT on 18.01.2016, 19.02.2016, 30.03.2016 & 03.05.2016 for filing the replies by respondents. Thereafter matter was adjourned for 25.05.2016 & 28.07.2016, 06.09.2016, 20.10.2016, 28.11.2016 & 11.01.2017. The matter has been listed for directions on 18.01.2017, 01.03.2017 & now for 17.04.2017. Directions are yet to be issued by Hon'ble NGT.

After due deliberation the SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 recommended as follows:

Deferred . The case be taken up in the next meeting.



Agenda No. 09

Case No. C-309

Name of the Project	Environmental Clearance for Proposed Common Bio-medical Waste Treatment Facility at Khasra No- 90/0, Mundka, Udyog Nagar Industrial Area, Delhi
Project Proponent	M/s Hitech Waste Solutions Pvt Ltd, 243, Anupam Garden, Nebsarai, New Delhi.
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/MIS/10938/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-309/DL/2016

(i) Case No. C-310

Name of the Project	Environmental Clearance for Proposed Common Biomedical Waste Management Facility at SSI Industrial Area, GT Karnal Road, Delhi.
Project Proponent	M/s RudrakshEnvirocare Pvt. Ltd., 1046, Sec-31, Gurgaon - 122002, Haryana
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/MIS/10956/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-310/DL/2016

(ii) Case No. C-311

Name of the Project	Environmental Clearance for Proposed Common Biomedical Waste Management Facility at Jhilmil Industrial Area, North Delhi District Shahdara, Delhi.
Project Proponent	M/s Medicare Environmental Management Pvt. Ltd., 403, 4 th floor, BPTP Park Centra, Sector-39, NH-08, Gurgaon Haryana-122001.
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/MIS/10191/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-311/DL/2016

Case No. C-312

Name of the Project	Environmental Clearance for Proposed Common Bio Medical Waste Disposal & Treatment Facility at Block-B, Phase-I, Mayapuri Industrial Area, Delhi
Project Proponent	M/s Spectrum Waste Solutions Pvt. Ltd., 17 PoorviMarg, VasantVihar, New Delhi – 110070
Proposal No.	SIA/DL/MIS/10958/2016
File No.	DPCC/SEIAA-III/C-312/DL/2016



The SEAC in its 79th meeting held on 16.04.2016 w.r.t. above four cases noticed that the four project proponents have filed the application for issuance of the TOR for setting up the new Common Bio-Medical Waste Facilities in addition to the already existing facilities of Delhi

The SEAC in its above said 79th meeting held on 16.04.2016 recommended as follows w.r.t. above four cases:

"The Committee noticed that the four project proponents have filed the application for issuance of the TOR for setting up the new Common Bio-Medical Waste Facilities in addition to the already existing facilities of Delhi.

During the meeting, it was appraised to the Committee that the Advisory Committee on Bio Medical Waste Management in its meeting held on 22.09.2015 under the chairmanship of Special Secretary (Health & Family Welfare) has discussed that the Bio Medical Waste generation in Delhi as well as existing capacity of Common Bio-Medical Waste Treatment Facilities is about 14.94 Tonne/day and about 24 Tonne/day (18 hours basis) respectively and this could meet the treatment capacity by the existing CBWTF for next 3 to 5 years.

Under the provisions of newly notified BMW management Rules, 2016, the guidelines for CBWTF is mandatory therefore the selection of the site for setting up of such facility shall be made in consultation with the prescribed authority, other stake holders in accordance with guidelines published by MoEF&CC or CPCB. Regarding the land requirement the CPCB guidelines provides that a CBWTF will require minimum of one acre land area so probability a

CBWTF is to be set up on a plot size of not less than one Acre. None of the proposal is in possession of the requisite land area as inquired from each of them during the meeting.

The Committee took a note of the fact that increasing the number of CBWTF in Delhi in indiscriminate manner will add to the incineration activities in Delhi and thereby defeat the efforts of the Delhi Government for the minimisation of environmental pollution in Delhi State. Therefore, Setting up of any new CBWTF is to be governed as per the provisions of Bio-Medical Waste Rules as well as the guidelines issued by Central Pollution Control Board/MoEF&CC in accordance with the need base specially when the BMW generation exceeds the existing treatment capacity in a state which is also as per the review to be done by the advisory committee periodically in consultation with DPCC and DHS regarding requirement of the any new facilities.

The Committee decided that the Advisory Committee constituted in pursuance of Bio Medical Waste Management Rules be asked to advise whether any new CBWTF in Delhi is required and viable in view of the fact that already existing CBWTF's in Delhi are having more treatment capacities than the BMW generation and catering the need of health care establishments in accordance with BMW Rules. "

The cases were considered in subsequently by SEAC in its 81st meeting held on 16.07.2017 and in its 83rd meeting held on 15.10.2016 SEAC recommended that the response of Directorate of Health Services received vide letter dated 06.09.2016 along with e-mail dated 14.10.2016 received from Sh. Navneet Panwar be forwarded to prescribed authority under Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules i.e. Delhi Pollution Control Committee for comments and present status of the case before Hon'ble NGT in OA No 508/2015 specifically in respect of setting up of new CBWTF in Delhi. Accordingly matter referred to concerned cell of DPCC and reminders were also issued sin view of SEAC's meeting held on 17.12.2016 and on 11.03.2017 . However response from DPCC is still awaited.

After due deliberation the SEAC in its 88th meeting held on 01.04.2017 recommended as follows:

A letter be issued to Advisory Committee to provide its final views on the recommendation of the Core Committee made in its meeting dated 27.07.2016 to advise categorically regarding setting up of any new CBWTF in Delhi. A mention may also be made about the earlier letter of SEAC issued on 29.04.2016 and inconclusive response received from DHS vide letter dated 06.09.2016.

Reminder to DPCC may also be issued mentioning that SEAC is not able to proceed further in these matter in absence of any response from DPCC.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to Chair.

