

Minutes of the 23rd meeting of the Committee Constituted for Deciding the Consent under orange Category, held on 09.10.2007 in the Conference Room of Chairman, DPCC.

The following persons, attended the meeting on 09.10.2007

1. Sh. J. K Dadoo, Chairman DPCC
2. Sh. SS Ghonkrota, Member Secretary, DPCC
3. Sh. S.K.Gupta ,Head Chemical Engineering Deptt., IIT Delhi
4. Sh. B. Kumar, SEE, DPCC
5. Sh. M. Dwarakanath, SSO, Deptt of Env., GNCTD
6. EEs CMC ĩ I, II & III.
7. EE (PGRC)
8. AEEs and JEE of CMC ĩ I, II & III.
9. AEEs of PGRC

23rd meeting of the Committee constituted for deciding the Consent (under orange Category) was held on 09.10.2007 and following decisions were taken in the meeting:

Agenda 1- Court cases of WIA and Badli Industrial Estate

26 court cases of WIA and 12 court cases of Badli Industrial Estate were discussed and decisions taken are annexed as **Annexure – I**. Court cases of Badli Industrial Estate will be taken up on 14.11.07.

Agenda 2- VIP/VVIP cases

3 cases were discussed and decisions taken are annexed as **Annexure – II**.

Agenda 3- Fresh Cases of PGRC

26 cases of PGRC were discussed and decisions were taken. Same are annexed as Annexure ĩ III.

Other agenda items were not discussed for want of time and it was decided to take up the remaining agenda items on 25.10.07.

Meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

S.No.	Name	Address	Facts of the case	Decision taken on 09.10.07
1	HaldiramMktg.P.Ltd.	B-1/H-8, MCIE, New Delhi-44	A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as "check it out". Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 23.01.2007. Following observation were made by the team Equalization tank was under ground and almost covered and there is no suitable arrangements for removal of oil & grease and settled solids. Primary tanks (3 nos.) were not having arrangement for convenient / regular removal of settled solids / sludge. Media provided for growth of bacteria in the aeration tank was choked at some places and filter press was lying defunct. No facility for sludge removal / dewatering and disposal. Ducting arrangements to be provided for proper channelization of fumes generated. A sample from ETP outlet was collected. The effluent sample of the unit could not be analyzed by DPCC Lab and it was asked to recollect the fresh sample. The unit is having consent under Air & Water Act which is valid up to 26.06.2008. The unit has submitted a letter on 17.09.07 in response to visit of DPCC officials on 23.01.07 and informed that they have undertaken an overall improvement programme and adopted practice of regular cleaning and maintenance of existing ETP for proper operation. Further course of action is to be decided	After inspection done in January, 07, the unit was found to be having several deficiencies. The unit has filed an application saying deficiencies is corrected. Unit may be asked to file bank guarantee of Rs. one lakh under each of three Acts. The same team which inspected earlier may inspect the unit again and put up the case on 14.11.07. The all his papers must also be checked whether unit is paying cess fully to DPCC or not.

2	HaldiramMktg.P.Ltd.	B-1/F-10 &12, MCIE, New Delhi- 44	A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as 'check it out'. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 23.01.2007. Following observation were made by the team. The dosing tanks were found empty. It was reported by the representative that the same is not being done since last one year. The UASB was having the silt / sludge deposited in the peripheral channels. The settling tank / tube settler was found empty and it was informed that it was so because they were trying to determine sludge volume index. Hence sample could not be collected. The tank provided for storing of activated sludge was receiving / having clear water and no facility was observed for removal, dewatering and disposal of sludge. .App. 150 gunny bags containing slurry of oil and grease were found lying in the open on back street. Spillage / leakage were also observed. Smell was observed around the ETP which was due to the anaerobic decomposition of biological matter leading to septic condition. Ducting arrangements are to be provided for proper channelization of fumes generated. The unit is having consent under Air & Water Act, which is valid up to 26.06.2008. The unit has submitted a letter on 17.09.07 in response to visit of DPCC officials on 23.01.07 and informed that they have undertaken an overall improvement programme and adopted practice of regular cleaning and maintenance of existing ETP for proper operation. Further course of action is to be decided.	After inspection done in January, 07, the unit was found to be having several deficiencies. The unit has filed an application saying deficiencies is corrected. Unit may be asked to file bank guarantee of Rs. one lakh under each of three Acts. The same team which inspected earlier may inspect the unit again and put up the case on 14.11.07. The all his papers must also be checked whether unit is paying cess fully to DPCC or not.
---	---------------------	--	--	---

3	Excel Motors/ Regent Automobile	A-29/(Old-B-1/E-20) MCIE	A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as 'check it out'. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 23.01.2007. Following observation were made by the team :-The premises no. B-1/E-20 is being used only as showroom of M/s Excel Motors. Instead, the said unit was found in operation in the premises no. A-29 alongwith M/s Regent Automobile. ETP installed at A-29 was found in defunct condition. Reaction tank was not in use and no dosing of chemical was observed. Filtration unit was not in use. No sludge was observed in sludge drying bed. Waste water was being passed without any treatment through flexible pipe. A sample from ETP outlet was collected. The effluent sample of the unit could not be analyzed by DPCC Lab and it was asked to recollect the fresh sample. The unit has not obtained consent to establish / operate at the new premise i.e. A-29. Earlier the unit was having consent (expired on 16.09.2006) for the premises B-1/ E-20. Further course of action is to be decided. A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as 'check it out'. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 27.04.2007. Following observation were made by the team:-The unit is having pretreatment plant which converts water from lab section into purified water which is again used in the laboratory. It was reported that no waste water is discharge except cleaning of cooling tower. Water from different units of pretreatment plant is being used for cooling tower and boiler. The unit is also having a oil fired boiler (reported capacity is 200 Kg.). But nothing has been mentioned in the consent application submitted on 22.02.2006. The unit has not provided adequate stack height with the D.G.	During the inspection, the unit was found that to be having improper air and water pollution control systems. The unit has not applied for consent to establish or operate. Notice may be issued why unit is operating without consent. Bank guarantee of Rs. One lakh may be asked under each of the three Acts.
---	------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---	---

4	Panacea Biotech Ltd.	A-224,OIA Ph-I,N.D.20	set of 380 KVA as mentioned in the consent application. At present only 1 lab at first floor is in operation and second floor have been newly constructed.	The unit has concealed certain informations in the consent application and has not provided adequate stack height of the DG set. The unit has not applied for consent to establish but only for consent to operate. He may be asked for consent to establish and submit a bank guarantee of Rs. 50,000/- under Air Act.
			The unit applied for consent to operate on 22.02.2006.An submitted layout plan form level I to level IV. However at present only 1 lab at first floor is in operation. The unit was also inspected by SEE (PGRC) on 22.05.2007 and reported that the effluent generated from lab can not be used in cooling tower as it contains microorganisms. Further course of action is to be decided.	
			A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as "check it out". Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 25.09.07. Following observations were made by the team :- The unit is carrying out different process on four plots from A-239 to A-242. From pollution point of view, there is discharge of waste water due to washing of voils at two different sections at level II . Further, there is discharge of waste water from washing of vessels / glasswares in analytical lab at level III. The unit has provided acoustic canopy and adequate stack height with the DG sets of 2X500 KVA. As per the record, the unit applied for renewal of consent under orange category on 20.01.06 for manufacturing of vaccines for premises no. A-239 to A-242 and having a DG set of 500 KVA. The unit has also submitted an affidavit dated 23.01.06 that manufacturing is being done at plot no. A-241&	

5	Panacea Biotech Ltd.	A-239 A-242 OIA, Ph-I, New Delhi-20	plot no. A-239, A-240 & A-242 are being used for trading / office purpose.	The unit has been submitting consent applications with confusing address on 3 locations. The unit has not applied for consent to establish and only applied for consent to operate. Let him file an application alongwith an affidavit of correct address. Since the unit is involved in mfg of vaccines for which inspection would require the services of specialist, PGRC team may take Prof. T.K. Joshi, specialist of BMW committee for this purpose and report on 14.11.07.
			The unit has submitted another application for consent to operate under orange category on 12.07.06 for manufacturing of vaccines at plot no. A-242 mentioning nil DG set. Earlier the unit was having renewal of consent to operate for manufacturing of vaccine at plot no. A-241 which was valid up to 19.02.06. Further course of action is to be decided.	
			A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as 'check it out'. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 25.09.07. Following observations were made by the team:- ETP of the unit was lying in defunct condition and not in use since long. No dry / wet sludge was observed. It was informed that waste water collected in collection tank is taken away through a tanker without any treatment. No measures under HWM Rules have been taken. Adequate stack height has not been provided with the DG set of 12.5 KVA. As per the record the unit applied for consent to operate and for authorization under HWM Rules on 29.08.2006. Earlier the unit was having consent to establish which was valid up to 01.04.2005. Further course of action is to be decided.	

7	Panacea Biotech Ltd.	B-I/E-12, MCIE, New Delhi-44	A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as "check it out". Accordingly, the unit was inspected by the team of DPCC officials on 25.09.07. Following observations were made by the team:- The unit is engaged in manufacturing of tablets, capsules, liquid orales and transgels through formulation process. Washing activity is carried out at tablet section (basement), gel manufacturing (1st floor) and syrup section (1st floor). Further, virgin bottles are rinsed through purified water through bottle washing machine. A grab sample was collected from SS vessels containing waste water to be discharged at washing area of tablet section. The unit is having 2 DG sets of 725 KVA and 380 KVA which have been placed in a common acoustically treated room. As per the record, the unit has applied for renewal of consent under orange category on 28.10.05 and has submitted fresh application for authorization under HWM Rules on 05.06.2006 in response to S.C.N. The report of effluent sample (grab) collected on 25.09.07, received from DPCC lab. It reveals from the report that all the tested parameters are meeting the prescribed norms. Further course of action is to be decided. A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as "check it out". Accordingly, the unit was inspected by the team of DPCC officials on 25.09.07. Following observations were made by the team:- The unit has augmented some of the activities of powder mixing, filling and labelling at an adjacent premises i.e. A-30. No channelization (ducting - hood) has been provided for dust emissions from mixing section. The unit has not applied for consent to establish / operate for its	Prof. T.K. Joshi to inspect and call on 14.11.07.
---	----------------------	------------------------------	---	---

8	International panacea Ltd	A-31,/B-I Extn., MCIE, New Delhi-44	augmented section at A-30, B-1 Extn., MCIE. Waste water generated from the laboratory section is being discharged directly without any treatment. The unit has not ensured permanent connections from fermentors (4 Nos.) for discharging. waste water to the ETP. It was reported that waste water is being taken to the ETP through cans. As per the record, the unit was having consent (valid up to 05.01.2006) and has applied for renewal of consent under orange category on 22.05.06. Earlier the unit has obtained consent to establish which was valid up to 03.04.03. Further course of action is to be decided. A public complaint received on 18.10.2006, marked as "check it out" No Address has been mentioned in the complaint. The unit can not be inspected as no record of the unit is available in DPCC. A public complaint received on 31.05.2007 through NHRC marked for inspection. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 20.06.2007. Following observations were made by team :-The unit is engaged in manufacturing of steel furniture including powder coating and derusting and it is located in non conforming Area. The powder coating plant was found equipped with best collection system. However adequate stack height has not been provided. No ETP has been provided. Activity of the unit falls F-27/33 category. Further course of action is to be decided.	The unit in old premises has unsatisfactory water control system for which notice may be issued to him with bank guarantee of Rs. one lakh alongwith affidavit to that effect may be taken. The unit has augmented where the air pollution control system are not proper. Unit may be asked to file bank guarantee of one lakh under the air act and file consent to establish. Call on 14.11.07.
---	---------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	---

11	Soloman Engineers & Traders	94/16, Jindpur, Mukhmail Pur Road, Alipur, Delhi-36	A public complaint received on 14.09.2006, marked as ऋLCòAccordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 28.09.2006. Following observations were made by the team -: The unit was having oil fired furnace for heat treatment followed washing with water. Polishing / Finishing was also carried out by using barrels, liquid shop and sent followed by washing activity. No ETP has been installed. Adequate stack height and acoustic enclosure has not been provided with the D.G. Sets (4 nos.).Activity carried out by the unit falls under F27/33 category. As approved name of the unit was forwarded to Dy. Commissioner (North- West) on 01.11.2006 for immediate action for closure of the unit being F-27/33 category activity.A representation received from the unit regarding restoration of power connection. The unit was again inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 22.02.2007 and it was observed that the unit has removed the heat treatment facility from the premises. The unit was also found in operation with the help of D.G. Sets (without acoustic enclosure). The case of the unit was forwarded to Law Department on 07.03.2007 to seek the legal opinion.The Law Department returned the file and asked to depute an official, well conversant with the facts to discuss the matter. It was also asked that at the first instance, the comments/ issues of the Admn.Section of Law Department may be taken and if required, the Admn. Section of Law Department may seek a legal opinion on specific point of doubt.The legal cell of DPCC has framed the issue and there after it was decided to putup the case in CMC.Further course of action is to be decided.	No relief can be given. Compliance report may be asked from the concerned DC.
----	-----------------------------	---	---	---

12	M/s Govind Wadhwa,M/s Harish Chand,M/s Kiran Jewellers & M/s Vijay Kumar	43/1, 44/1,42,3,Community Center East of Kailash	A public complaint was received through CM office / Minister of Env. & Forest, Govt. of Delhi marked as TLC regarding operation of DG sets by shopkeepers in East of Kailash. Inspection was carried out by DPCC officials on 25.07.2005.3. Closure directions u/s 31 (A) of the Air Act (to five Shops / offices namely M/s Vijay store, M/s Kiran Jewellers, M/s Deepa Exports, M/s Vadh Sahab & prop. 44/1 were issued on 31.03.2005 for removal of DG set.Representations have been received from four units namely Sh. Vadh Sahab , Harish Chand prop. 44/1, Kiran Jewellers & Vijay store with affidavit and informed that their DG sets were sealed by SDM (Defense Colony). They further mentioned that they are using invertors instead of DG sets and therefore requested to Deseal the DG sets so that same can be remove and sold. A complaint was received through LG office regarding Air & Noise pollution from the unit.2. Accordingly, the unit M/s Metal Can Company was inspected by a team of PGRC & Lab officials on 12.07.2007. Following observations were made by the team -The unit is engaged in the activity of Mfg. of Tin Containers & Card Board Boxes Including Printing with plate making. No ETP Installed to treat the trade effluent generated from the process i.e. plate making and washing of rollers.No steps have taken to comply with the HWM Rules for Hazardous Waste generated from Printing process.A DG set of 125 KVA found installed without acoustic enclosure.A DG set of 140 KVA found installed with acoustic enclosure and adequate stack height. Noise monitoring was carried out by DPCC. As per report ambient noise level 68.4 dB (A) is not meeting the prescribed norms of 55 dB (A) and contribution of the unit is	Inspection found, DG set without acoustic enclosure. The 4 units have filed for de-sealing saying they will remove DG set and put inverter. Request allowed, inspection to be done after one month to check that they have removed the DG set or not.
----	--	--	--	--

13	M/s Metal Can Company	1024, Near Maharaja Cable, Mahipalpur Village, New Delhi.	8.8 dB (A). The insertion loss is meeting the prescribed norms. It was reported that the unit is being operated since 1983 and provided copy of SSI & sales Tax registration as a proof.	Immediate closure orders under Air, Water and HWM Rules for disconnection of power supply, water supply and cancellation of MCD license.
			The unit was inspected w.r.t. a public complaint with regard to noise pollution due to exhaust at roof top. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of PGRC officials on 16.08.2007. Following observations were made by the team -:The unit has installed refrigeration plant, duct of kitchen with ID fan and small water purification plant at roof top of the premises. However, adequate height of duct above roof top has not been provided.The unit has provided steel strainer followed by oil & grease trap. However as per RESDH policy the unit is required to install full fledged ETP.Adequate stack height and acoustic enclosure has been provided with the DG set of 140 KVA.The unit has not provided MCD & police license for Restaurant. As per the record the unit has not applied for consent to DPCC. As per approval of competent authority, notices u/s31(A)of Air Act & 33(A) of Water Act issued on 18.09.2007. A letter is also issued on 18.09.2007 to MCD for taking necessary action against violations on conformity issue. Noise monitoring report received from DPCC lab. As per the noise monitoring report insertion loss is meeting the norms. However contribution of the unit is 0.8 dB(A).	

		Reply from the unit was also received in response to notices issued and following were informed: 1.The restaurant is operational with proper consent which was expired in March 2007(copy not enclosed) 2. Applied for health licence which was also expired in March 2007(copy of receipt and MCD licence enclosed but are for different floors) 3. As soon as we get licence from the authorities we will apply for consent.	
		The premises was inspected by PGRC officials on 16.08.2007 w.r.t. High Court Case titled as Sh. Hargobind oberoi Vs. MCD & others. The petitioner has requested to stop the work of installation of mobile tower at premises No. DU-133 and remove the temporary structure as the same may cause nuisance to the nearby residents. Following observations were made by the team -: A DG set of 15 KVA (not installed) and other related material i.e. wooden panels, angles, sheets etc., were lying on roof top of the premises. However, a steel antenna of app. 15 feet height has been installed.As reported by Mrs. Wadhwa, the work for installation of Mobile Tower (Airtel) had been stopped about one year back.	
		The premises was inspected by PGRC officials on 08.12.2006 w.r.t. High Court Case titled as Sh. Mahavir Singh Vs. Tata Tele Services Ltd.& others. Following observations were made by the team -: Adequate stack height and acoustic enclosure provided with the DG set of 25 KVA.The tower has been installed in the open land having boundary wall.	

17	Tarla Rajnikant Somaya Vs MCD & others	S-571 main Road, Alaknanda G.K. II, New Delhi.	The petitioner has filed the writ in the Hon'ble High Court and requested for removal / demolish the cellular Tower, Industrial generator and other equipments from the terrace and direct the respondent to stop misuse of the residential premises. Accordingly, official from DPCC Lab went to the site on 17.07.2007 to conduct the noise monitoring and found that DG set has been removed. (i) A letter from Industry Department has been received on 14.02.2006 regarding clarification for category of unit engaged in Mfg. of ink in H/F category so that decision on the allotment of Industrial Plot may be taken by Industry Department.(ii) After approval of competent authority a letter alongwith criteria for classification of Industries formulated by CPCB was sent to the Industry Department on 13.06.2007(iii) A letter was again received on 7.09.2006 from Sh. Darshan Singh, Dy. Commissioner(R) , Industry Department with photocopy of application mentioning details of machineries,raw material which were used earlier by the unit & asked whether the activity of the unit falls in H category or not as per MPD- 2001 . (iv) After approval of competent authority, another letter alongwith criteria for classification of Industries formulated by CPCB was again sent to the Industry Department on 26.04.2007(v) Further a letter received from Sh. Darshan Singh(R.),Industry Deptt. wherein he asked a clear cut reply in this particular case regarding activity falls in H category or not as per MPD-2001. Further course of action is to be decided.	If the petitioner is objecting for valid reasons then DPCC is side with the petitioner and not with mobile company. This may be brought to the notice of the court.
----	--	--	--	---

19	M.C.Auto Pvt. Ltd.	B-43, GTK road Indl Area, Delhi	A public complaint received on 09.08.2007, marked as "LC" regarding water, air and noise pollution. Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 19.5.9.2007. Following observations were made by the team -:(i)The unit is engaged in mfg.of jewellery items at second floor & part of first floor. The unit has not installed ETP to treat the waste water generated during process.(ii) No control measures have been taken to channelize the emissions generated from the process of melting .(iii) A DG Set of 75 KVA found installed without acoustic enclosure and adequate stack height.(iv) Unit is operating without consent from DPCC. Further course of action is to be decided. A public complaint received on 03.09.2007 marked as "LC" regarding illegal factory & godown which is fire Hazardous.Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 10..9.2007. Following observations were made by the team -: (i)The premises in question is being used for godown & packing(manualy) of towels. The premises B-35 as mentioned in the complaint was found locked from the outside and as per local enquiry the premises is lying locked from last one year & no activity is carried out. However, earlier some industrial activity related to garments was being carried out. Further course of action is to be decided.	Unit is operating without consent to establish or operate and not proper air and water control systems not installed. Unit may be asked to file a bank guarantee of Rs. one lakh under air and water act, correct all deficiencies and file an affidavit and ask for consent to establish. Call up on 14.11.07
----	--------------------	---------------------------------	---	--

21	Kurl-on Ltd	Kh. No.238, Budhpur, Alipur, Delhi	A public complaint received on 03.09.2007 marked as TLC regarding pollution by factory and burning of waste .Accordingly, the unit was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 10.9.2007. Following observations were made by the team -(i)The premises in question is being used for godown of mattresses & curtains of Curl-on Ltd., which were brought from Banglore (ii).One no. of stitching machine exists in the premises for repairing of mattresses, if required. (iii)No burning of foam/ rubber seen in the premises.However at a plot infront of the unit a lot of coir sheets including foam cuttings are lying , which generates wastage from packing material used for transportation of mattresses. Further course of action is to be decided. A public complaint received on 03.09.07 marked as TLC regarding air pollution . Accordingly, the premises was inspected by a team of DPCC officials on 14.09.07. Following observations were made by team.1.The unit was found in operation & engaged in the activity of Mfg. of Electrical strip coils used in transformers by the process of binding of strips in coil form- electric welding - tempering (Heating in furnace)- Natural Cooling. 2. The unit was having a DG set of 7.5 KVA without acoustic enclosure & adequate stack. 3. Unit was not installed hood & suction arrangement for channelization of emission generated during the process of tempering. As per the record of enquiry counter, earlier consent to operate under green category was obtained for the activity of electric stamping (sheet cutting by engg. work) in the name of M/s Pali traders which was valid up to 25.07.07. Further course of action is to be decided.	Complaint be sent to MCD.
----	-------------	--	--	---------------------------

23	Illegal Running of units in non conforming / residential area	Trinagar area	A public complaint received through CM office on 13.10.06 against industrial units operating in Tri Nagar area despite Supreme Court order dated 07.05.04. As desired by Chairman for assessing factual position, the area was visited by a team of DPCC officials on 06.11.06 & 18.12.06. Following observations were made by team. 1. Tri Nagar area is having approx. 4700 Nos of plots of about 50-100 sq. mtr. in size. The team visited 32 Nos of premises in gali no. 1, Kanhiya Nagar, Tri Nagar, Delhi & 16 Nos. of Industrial units were found operating. Out of 16 nos. of units 3 Nos. fall under F-27/33 category and rest of the 11 Nos. of units in non F-27/33 category. The same was apprised to Principal Secy. to CM. Accordingly, the complaint and name of the units were forwarded to DC (North West) on 06.03.07 for effective closure and to Commissioner of Industries on 19.03.07 for necessary action in pursuance of Hon'ble S.C. order dated 07.05.04. 2. Further another complaint against industrial units operating in Tri Nagar Area is received on 20.08.07 and marked as checked out. 3. A proposal for constitution of 10 teams is put up for detailed inspection of each premises of the area. 4. File has already been put up on 3.10.2007 as desired by Chairperson. 5. Another complaint has also been received from Sh. Mukesh Kumar by E-mail on 3.10.2007 regarding the operation of polluting units in Gali No 16, Omkar Nagar, Tri Nagar Delhi. 6. Further course of action is to be decided.	These complaints from Trinagar have been coming from several sources, the last one from LG. This matter was raised before Principle Secretary to Chief Minister once and he had asked to check the status w.r.t. MPD 2021. According to MPD 2021 this area now falls under non conforming area. In view of the above, formerly on file the matter may be brought to notice of Principal Secretary / Chief Minister and then matter may be referred to Commissioner of Industries as per Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 07.05.04.
----	---	---------------	--	--

24	M/s Ambience Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Ambi Mall)	Plot No.2, Vasant Kunj, Ph-II, New Delhi	The project proponent has applied on 17.05.05 for consent under Air & Water Acts in terms of EIA notification. As no EIA report was submitted, SCN was issued on 31.05.05 to furnish 20 sets of EIA report along with other documents. The project proponent furnished a reply on 05.07.2005 but the project proponent failed to submit EIA reports. Case was put up before Consent Management Committee on 01.02.06. As per decision taken in the said meeting, SCN was issued on 07.02.06 giving last opportunity to submit EIA report within 15 days. The project proponent submitted a reply on 22.02.06 however it failed again to submit the mandatory EIA reports. Case was again put up before CMC on 16.03.06, as per decision of the said committee, the consent application in terms of EIA notification was refused on 23.03.06. The Hon'ble S.C. directed vide order dated 20.03.06 in the matter of PIL titled Ridge Bachaon Andolan Vs. UOI & others that DPCC will provide the papers within a week to MoEF which will take a decision within 2 weeks as regards the stand of the developers that no permission / EIA is necessary. In pursuance of Hon'ble S.C. directions, MoEF has filed an affidavit on 26.04.06 that fresh EIA report and prior Environmental clearance is required by the projects. Subsequently, Hon'ble Supreme Court directed vide its order dated 01.05.06 that the MoEF shall heard the matter within 2 months and deal with the matter. In the meantime, there shall not be any further construction. MoEF vide their letter dated 08.05.06 asked DPCC to conduct public hearing and directed project proponents to submit relevant documents by 15.05.06. Subsequently, this project proponent applied for consent / NOC with 20 sets of EIA reports on 12.05.06. The public	Case to be taken with other malls cases on 23.10.07 with all the facts.
----	---	--	---	---

		hearing was held on 20.06.06 and minutes / proceedings were forwarded to MoEF on 26.06.06.	
		The matter was heard by apex court on 17.08.06 and passed a order on 17.10.06 that MoEF shall take a decision on Environmental clearance within 2 months. Subsequently, MoEF has accorded Environmental clearance to the project on 27.11.06. As the project is also required to obtain Consent to establish under Air & Water Act, a notice was issued on 03.01.07. The project proponent has filed an appeal against consent refusal order dated 23.03.06 before Appellate Authorities under Air & Water Act. The case before appellate authority under Air Act was heard on 28.08.06 which has passed a detailed order on 31.08.06. The case before appellate authority under Water Act is scheduled on 19.10.07. Further, the site was inspected by DPCC officials on 08.09.07 and following observations were made :-	
		1. The mall activities has not been commissioned so far and work is still in progress. 2. The mall is having seven floors i.e. lower basement, upper basement, lower ground floor, upper ground floor, 1st floor, 2nd floor & 3rd floor. 3. As reported and observed, approximately 95% of super structure (civil) work and 40% of services work i.e. plumbing, fire fighting, air condition etc. has been completed. 4. Finishing work was in progress. 5. Presently, 2 DG sets of 375 KVA and 160 KVA have been installed for power backup and placed	

		in acoustic enclosure. 6. It was reported that a STP has been proposed but the work of the same has not been started so far. 7. As reported the scheduled time for commissioning / opening of the mall is in May-June 2008.	
		A letter has been received from the Industry Deptt. regarding clarification of the activity i.e. leather finishing without water falls in H category or not as per MPD-2001. In this regard it is submitted that as per MPD-2001 leather tanning is in H Category and as per MPD-2021 leather tanning and dying (raw hides/ skin to semi finish) is in prohibited list. Further as per criteria of CPCB, tannery is in Ha category. Further course of action is to be decided.	
		The premises was inspected by PGRC officials on 16.08.2007 w.r.t. High Court Case titled as Sh.K.L.Talwar V/s LG & others. Following observations were made by the team -: A DG set of 5 KVA was found installed in the premises without mandatory acoustic enclosure. The premises is being used for Mandir & other socio cultural activities i.e. Karante, Uthala, Dispensary etc. No society member was available at site . Next date of hearing is 08.01.08	

